

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

1. Please cancel claims 1, 4, 8, 13, 15-17, 24, 26-28.
2. Applicant wishes to bring claim 29 to Examiner Syed's attention.
3. The amendments/new claims have support at least as follows: Fig. 4 (220, 250, 230), 8:15, 11:25-30, Table 2, 12:10, 13:8-15.
4. Is it obvious to locate a non-compliant cookie in instruction code? Maybe not. It was not obvious to Boger (he focuses on data code--not instruction code). It was not obvious to Erinaki either (he takes a *very* high level analysis and fails to address the *specific* issue of instruction code vs. data code). Erinaki appears to be the reference the PTO relies upon for this teaching.<sup>1</sup>

If the PTO continues to believe Erinaki teaches or suggests this element, we respectfully ask for specific citation to *where* in the 28 page document this exists. We have earnestly searched and cannot find the teaching or suggestion. Also, in the most recent office action the PTO addresses a "code portion" but not "instruction code" as claimed.<sup>2</sup> We ask the Office to specifically address "instruction code" and "instruction cache."

Regardless, to bring prosecution to an end we present claim 29 with its many *specific* areas of distinction. We respectfully ask Examiner Syed to reevaluate the references in light of claim 29 and its dependent claims.

5. As the cited references, alone or in any combination with one another, neither teach or suggest the recited subject matter of the pending claims, it is respectfully submitted that the independent claims and all the claim depending therefrom are patentable. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504.

---

<sup>1</sup> See e.g., OA, pg. 3 (2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph), pg. 5 (1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph). In addition, even though the PTO does not appear to rely on the '869 patent for this element, that reference at best describes a "reference pointer" located in code of some sort and locating these "references." Abstract, 7:20-30. It does not, however, teach or suggest, for example, "storing metadata ... the metadata comprises a bundle that includes a cookie and method information" in "compiled instruction code in an *instruction cache* of a mobile platform device." Claim 29. The whole idea of storing and querying method metadata more efficiently in managed runtime environments (spec. 2:10, claim 29) is missed by the cited references.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7-24-07



---

E.E. "Jack" Richards, II  
Registration No. 53,514  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 750  
Houston, Texas 77057-2631  
(512) 418-9944 [Phone]  
(713) 468-8883 [Fax]  
Customer No.: **21906**